AUSTRALIA-BANGLADESH RESEARCH CONCEPT PAPER

Identifying environmental impacts on human health in space

Abstract

This concept paper provides a brief overview of research ideas related to Human Health in Space, explored through simulations of the contrasting environments of Australia and Bangladesh, and serves as a preliminary step before developing a full research proposal

Dr. Rifat Sharmin
rifat.sharmin85@gmail.com

Introduction

As humanity prepares for extended space exploration missions, including long-term habitation on the Moon and Mars, understanding the physiological and psychological effects of space environments on human health has become a critical area of research. The unique challenges posed by microgravity, radiation exposure, isolation, and confined living conditions necessitate comprehensive pre-mission studies that simulate these stressors in Earth-based environments.

This concept paper proposes a comparative approach to simulating space-like conditions by leveraging the stark environmental and socioeconomic contrasts between Australia and Bangladesh. These two countries offer distinct variables—ranging from climate extremes and healthcare infrastructure to nutritional availability and population density—that can help model diverse terrestrial stressors analogous to those encountered in space. By studying health outcomes in these differing settings, the research aims to gain insights into resilience factors, physiological adaptation, and potential countermeasures to support human well-being during space missions.

This paper outlines initial research questions, objectives, and potential methodologies, serving as a foundation for a more detailed proposal that will guide future experimental design and implementation.

Research Questions

Here are several related research questions that align with our concept paper on Human Health in Space, using simulations based on environmental contrasts between Australia and Bangladesh:

Related Research Questions

1. How do **differing environmental stressors in Australia and Bangladesh** simulate the physiological challenges faced by humans in space? E.g., **air & water quality, extreme heat, humidity, or dryness** mimicking space habitat stress.
2. What role do **nutrition, healthcare accessibility, and lifestyle differences** play in physiological resilience to space-like conditions?
3. How do **environmental and cultural differences influence mental health** outcomes under simulated space isolation and confinement?
4. Can the health impacts of environmental extremes in Australia (e.g., **arid desert regions**) and Bangladesh (e.g., **high humidity, low elevation areas**) inform space habitat design and crew health protocols?
5. What biomarkers (e.g., **stress hormones, immune function indicators**) are most sensitive to simulated space-related stressors in different Earth environments?

6. How does **socioeconomic status, healthcare infrastructure, and public health preparedness** influence human adaptability to space conditions?
7. To what extent can **data from Earth-based simulations** in contrasting environments be extrapolated to long-duration spaceflight health risks?

Objectives

Here are related objectives for our concept paper on Human Health in Space, using environmental contrasts between Australia and Bangladesh:

Research Objectives

1. To identify **key environmental stressors** in Australia and Bangladesh that can serve as analogs for space conditions. (e.g., **air & water quality, temperature extremes, humidity, isolation, limited healthcare access**).
2. To **evaluate the physiological and psychological responses** of individuals exposed to these stressors in order to model potential human health outcomes in space.
3. To compare **the adaptive mechanisms of individuals from different environmental**, cultural, and socioeconomic backgrounds and determine factors contributing to resilience or vulnerability in space conditions.
4. To assess **the role of nutrition, infrastructure, and healthcare systems** in supporting health under simulated space stressors across the two countries.
5. To identify biomarkers of stress, adaptation, and health deterioration **that may serve as early warning indicators for space missions**.
6. To develop **recommendations for space mission planning** that consider individual variability based on environmental and cultural conditioning.
7. To lay the **groundwork for future experimental studies and full-scale research proposals** involving space health simulations using diverse Earth-based situations.

Methodologies

Here are related methodologies for our research concept paper on *Human Health in Space*, using the contrasting environments of **Australia** and **Bangladesh** as analogs:

Methodologies

1. **Comparative Environmental Simulation Studies**
    - Identify and model environmental conditions in selected regions of Australia (e.g., arid/desert) and Bangladesh (e.g., humid/subtropical/city slums) that simulate stressors found in space.

- Create controlled environments or use natural settings to expose participants to temperature, humidity, air & water quality, and resource constraints similar to space habitats.

2. **Physiological and Biometric Monitoring**
   - Monitor vital signs, cardiovascular function, hydration levels, immune response, muscle atrophy, and bone density using non-invasive and portable medical technologies.
   - Track biomarkers such as cortisol (for stress), cytokines (immune activity), and sleep cycles using wearable devices.
3. **Psychological and Cognitive Assessments**
   - Use standardized tools (e.g., NASA TLX, POMS, Beck Depression Inventory) to assess mental health, mood, stress, cognitive performance, and emotional resilience.
   - Include interviews and self-report surveys for qualitative data.
4. **Nutritional and Lifestyle Profiling**
   - Collect and compare dietary intake, nutritional deficiencies, physical activity levels, and hydration habits among participants in both regions.
   - Analyze how these lifestyles variables influence physical and psychological health under stress.
5. **Sociocultural and Health Systems Analysis**
   - Evaluate access to healthcare, cultural beliefs around health and stress, and public health infrastructure in both countries.
   - Conduct qualitative interviews with health professionals and community leaders.
6. **Data Integration and Cross-Comparative Analysis**
   - Use statistical tools (e.g., SPSS, R, or Python) to identify significant patterns and correlations between environmental exposure and health outcomes.
   - Apply mixed-methods approaches to synthesize quantitative and qualitative data.
7. **Ethical and Logistical Frameworks**
   - Ensure informed consent, ethical approval, and culturally sensitive engagement with participants in both countries.
   - Account for logistical differences in data collection tools and participant follow-up in rural vs urban environments.

Trends And Insights

In this section we refer to three (3) distinct documents prepared by us based on online research and hands-on experience on the ground in Bangladesh and Australia.

Comparative Analysis of COVID-19 Fatality Trends in Bangladesh and Australia.docx

Comparative Analysis of COVID-19 Fatality T

Implications of Widespread Antibiotic Use in Bangladesh.docx

Implications of Widespread Antibiotic

Report Potential Causative Factors for the High Incidence of Oropharyngeal and Lung Cancer in Bangladesh.docx

Report Potential Causative Factors for

In addition to the above details on cancer prevalences in Bangladesh we also present a high-level comparative picture of Bangladesh and Australia in the next section.

Comparative Report on Bangladesh and Australian Cancer Data Analysis

1. Introduction

Cancer remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. This analysis compares cancer incidence and mortality rates between Bangladesh and Australia, utilizing the latest GLOBOCAN 2020 data from the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Understanding these disparities is crucial for informing public health strategies and resource allocation in both countries.

2. Methodology

Data for this analysis were sourced from the GLOBOCAN 2020 database, which provides estimates of cancer incidence and mortality for 185 countries. The key metrics examined include age-standardized incidence and mortality rates per 100,000 population. Due to the absence of a national cancer registry in Bangladesh, data reliability may be limited.gco.iarc.fr+6iarc.who.int+6iarc.who.int+6iosrjournals.org

3. Cancer Burden in Bangladesh

According to GLOBOCAN 2020, Bangladesh reported:

- **Cancer Incidence**: Approximately 156,775 new cancer cases.
- **Cancer Mortality**: Around 108,990 cancer-related deaths.
- **Age-Standardized Incidence Rate**: 106.2 per 100,000.
- **Age-Standardized Mortality Rate**: 75.3 per 100,000.jto.org

Lung cancer was identified as the most prevalent and deadliest cancer type in Bangladesh .jto.org

4. Cancer Burden in Australia

In contrast, Australia reported:

- **Cancer Incidence**: Approximately 150,000 new cancer cases.
- **Cancer Mortality**: Around 50,000 cancer-related deaths.
- **Age-Standardized Incidence Rate**: Approximately 500 per 100,000.

- **Age-Standardized Mortality Rate**: Approximately 150 per 100,000.

Australia exhibits higher incidence rates, likely due to advanced screening programs and better healthcare access. However, the mortality rate is significantly lower, reflecting effective cancer treatment and early detection systems.

5. Comparative Analysis

Metric	Bangladesh	Australia
Age-Standardized Incidence Rate	106.2 per 100,000	~500 per 100,000
Age-Standardized Mortality Rate	75.3 per 100,000	~150 per 100,000
Leading Cancer Type	Lung Cancer	Prostate and Breast Cancer
Healthcare Infrastructure	Limited access to cancer care facilities	Advanced healthcare system with widespread access to screening and treatment